

# **Psychosocial Care in Nursing Homes in the Era of the MDS 3.0: Perspectives of the Experts**

## **Conference Grant Executive Summary**

### **Purpose**

Leading stakeholders from diverse organizations convened April 4-5, 2011 in Washington, D.C. to provide expert interdisciplinary, research, government and industry input regarding resources and recommendations to improve psychosocial care in nursing homes. Discussion addressed next steps related to the new Minimum Data Set (MDS) 3.0 and care area assessments (CAAs) implemented October, 2010 which relate to seven targeted areas of psychosocial care (delirium, cognition, psychosocial well-being, mood state, behavioral symptoms, pain, and return to the community). The Commonwealth Fund provided funding for the conference.

### **Conference Participants**

Forty-five interdisciplinary experts were convened who were involved in nursing home research, practice, and/or policy, and represented disciplines including social work, nursing, activity/recreational therapy, medicine, and direct care workers; and provider, advocacy, training, research, and government organizations, including eleven leaders from Department of Health and Human Services units as well as the Veterans Administration. Table 1 lists the individuals who attended the conference and their affiliated organization.

### **Design and Methods**

Materials were sent to each invitee in advance of the conference, including relevant background literature and resources. Seven workgroups composed of individuals representing diverse disciplines and organizational affiliations were tasked to: (a) examine MDS and CAA materials (a framework guiding the review of areas that require assessment) relevant to their assigned psychosocial care area; (b) critically review existent care planning resources for that area; and (c) when available, select preferred resources and/or identify existing gaps, considering attributes including evidence-base, accessibility, specificity and interpretability, applicability to different staff roles, and availability and amount of training required. The resulting findings and next step recommendations are based on the interdisciplinary workgroup deliberations and subsequent full group discussion during which the workgroup suggestions were considered.

### **Key Findings**

- Attention to nursing home residents' psychosocial care needs has been enhanced by the changes made to the MDS 3.0, but there are very few psychosocial evidence-based tools, guidelines and resources available to support or train nursing home staff. More evidence is needed to guide psychosocial assessment and care.
  - Psychosocial care should be person-centered and promoted as a fundamental aspect of quality of life.
  - The MDS and CAAs would benefit from more of a strengths-based perspective and cultural sensitivity.

- The experts strongly endorsed the importance of interdisciplinary psychosocial care and that nursing home staff must conduct these assessments and plan and implement care in collaboration with other members of the interdisciplinary team – ranging from the physician (who may need to consider medical issues that are complicit in care needs) to the nursing assistant (who provides the majority of care) – as well as with the resident and his/her family members.
  - They noted the need for a professional point-person to guide and oversee psychosocial care, and to ensure inclusion of families and residents in care assessment, planning, and implementation.
    - The social worker/designee is in a key position to coordinate and facilitate interdisciplinary care to address psychosocial needs on a regular basis and provide feedback to the resident and family; however, there needs to be more training and support of this role.
  - Addressing psychosocial care needs requires an interdisciplinary approach with all staff and families; currently, focus, resources, and training are limited in this area.
    - Shared-decision making, skill building, and cultural competence are indicated and might be facilitated through use of Geriatric Interdisciplinary Team Training [GITT]. (<http://gittprogram.org/>)
  
- There was widespread agreement regarding the need to promote critical thinking in relation to the psychosocial domains of the MDS and related CAA areas.
  - Psychosocial care cannot be dictated by a “checklist”, but instead must be guided by the expertise of professions including social work, nursing, occupational therapy, activity/recreational therapy, and others.
    - An example of a successful nursing home initiative promoting critical thinking is the Advancing Excellence in America’s Nursing Homes Campaign pain goal, which provides a comprehensive interdisciplinary, evidence-based organizational approach to treating pain in nursing homes. (<http://www.nhqualitycampaign.org/>)

### **Next Steps**

1. Use a model similar to the one of the conference to convene either by telephone or in person key stakeholders from various groups and organizations (including clinicians and direct care staff) to strengthen psychosocial nursing home care. Attention should be focused on the development of evidence-based resources for psychosocial assessment and care, and on the dissemination and training of these resources for all nursing home staff.
  
2. Focus industry and CMS MDS 3.0 training on psychosocial and interdisciplinary care at national, state and local levels to help all nursing home staff improve their skills and have tools to conduct more thorough assessments, develop appropriate care plans, and implement those plans. Professional training of nurses, social workers, recreational therapists, and others should include more focus in the use of specific interdisciplinary tools for psychosocial assessment and care planning.
  
3. Train nursing home social service staff to take the lead in promoting psychosocial well-being; similarly, the provider community, quality improvement organizations (QIOs), social work education programs, and professional associations are advised to develop focused professional development programs, addressing both social work functions as well as interdisciplinary collaboration.

For additional information about the conference, contact the organizers (Sheryl Zimmerman, Robert Connolly, Mercedes Bern-Klug, or Joan Zlotnik) at the e-mail addresses listed in Table 1.

## Table 1. Conference Attendees and Organizations

Elise Beaulieu, MSW  
Institute for Geriatric Social Work  
Boston University

Amy Berman, RN, BS  
The Hartford Foundation

Mercedes Bern-Klug, PhD, MSW  
Aging Studies Program  
University of Iowa  
Mercedes-bern-klug@uiowa.edu

Alice Bonner, PhD, RN, GNP, FAANP  
Division of Nursing Homes  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Carmen Bowman, MHS, ACC  
Edu-Catering: Catering Education for Compliance and  
Culture Change in LTC

Martha Bruce, PhD  
Weill Cornell Medical College

Lauren Cohen, MA  
Program on Aging, Disability and Long-Term Care  
Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research  
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Robert Connolly, MSW  
Retired, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
rpc2536@gmail.com

Cyndy Cordell  
Alzheimer's Association

Meredith Eisenhart, MSW  
Council on Social Work Education

Jonathan Evans, MD, CMD  
American Medical Director's Association

Jovier Evans, PhD  
Geriatrics Research Branch  
National Institute of Mental Health

Sandra Fitzler, BSN  
American Health Care Association

Nancy Flowers  
The National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term  
Care

Barbara Frank, MPA  
B&F Consulting

Susan Frazier  
The Green House Project  
NCB Capital Impact

Colleen Galambos, PhD, MSW  
School of Social Work  
University of Missouri

Susan Ganson, RN, NHA, CSP  
CCAC Aging Services

Sarah Greene Burger, RN-C, MPH, FAAN  
Coalition of Geriatric Nursing Organizations  
Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing  
College of Nursing, New York University

Chris Herman, MSW, LICSW  
National Association of Social Workers

Christa Hojlo, PhD, RN, NHA  
Department of Veterans Affairs

Kathleen Johnson, RN, BS  
Division of Nursing Homes  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Mary Jane Koren, MD, MPH  
Quality of Care for Frail Elders  
The Commonwealth Fund

Becky Kurtz, JD  
Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs  
Administration on Aging

Beverley Laubert, BA  
National Association of State Long-Term Care  
Ombudsman Programs

Karen Love, BA  
Center for Excellence in Assisted Living

Meridean Maas, PhD, RN, FAAN  
Hartford Foundation Center of Geriatric Nursing  
Excellence  
University of Iowa

Sue Misiorski, RN  
Training and Organizational Development Services  
PHI

Evvie Munley  
LeadingAge

Terry Ng, MSW  
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services  
Administration

George Niederehe, PhD  
Geriatrics Research Branch  
National Institute of Mental Health

Nora O'Brien-Suric, MA  
The Hartford Foundation  
Gerontological Committee of the NYC

Mary Pratt, MSN, RN  
Office of Clinical Standards and Quality  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Patrick Raue, PhD  
Advanced Center for Interventions Research  
Weill Cornell Medical College

Robin Remsburg, PhD, APRN, BC  
School of Nursing  
George Mason University, Virginia

Debra Saliba, MD, MPH  
Borun Center for Gerontological Research, UCLA

Judith Sangl, ScD  
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
Center for Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

Shannon Skowronski  
Office of Program Innovation and Demonstration  
Administration on Aging

Sidney Stahl, PhD  
National Institute on Aging  
National Institutes of Health

Robyn Stone, DrPH  
Institute for the Future of Aging Services  
LeadingAge

Cheryl Waites, PhD  
School of Social Work  
Wayne State University

Joan Weiss, PhD, RN, CRNP  
Division of Diversity and Interdisciplinary Education  
Health Resources and Services Administration

Diana White, PhD  
Institute on Aging  
Portland State University

Iara Woody  
LeadingAge

Gina Zimmermann  
Home Care and Long Term Care Accreditation  
The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare  
Organizations

Sheryl Zimmerman, PhD, MSW  
School of Social Work  
Program on Aging, Disability, and Long-Term Care  
Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research  
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill  
Sheryl\_Zimmerman@unc.edu

Joan Levy Zlotnik, PhD, ACSW  
Social Work Policy Institute  
National Association of Social Workers  
jzlotnik@naswdc.org